

**MAY 7 2003**

**Not for Publication**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON**  
**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**TERRY DEEN,**

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

**CORNING CITY, a governmental entity  
and political subdivision of the State of  
CA; CORNING POLICE DEPARTMENT,  
a governmental entity; JOHN JELLISON,  
as an individual and as an employee of the  
City of Corning and the City of Corning  
Police Department,**

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 01-16705

D.C. No.  
CV-99-01327-DFL/PAN

**MEMORANDUM\***

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of California  
David F. Levi, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted March 13, 2003  
Stanford, California

Before: **KOZINSKI, GRABER** and **BERZON**, Circuit Judges.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

1. The district court did not err in concluding that Officer Jellison was entitled to qualified immunity. Deen failed to “establish both a substantial showing of the deliberate falsity or reckless disregard of the truth of the statements in [Jellison’s report and affidavit] and the materiality of those statements to the ultimate determination of probable cause.” Hervey v. Estes, 65 F.3d 784, 789 (9th Cir. 1995). It is undisputed that Jellison confirmed the existence of a valid restraining order with dispatch after Shatswell called police about Deen’s violation of the order, that Jellison’s report contained no material misstatements or omissions and that he attached to his affidavit true copies of the two restraining orders for review by his superiors. It makes no difference that the December 22, 1998, restraining order had not been served on Deen. As Jellison’s report accurately disclosed, an almost identical order was served on October 18, 1998.

Jellison’s romantic involvement with Shatswell and the District Attorney’s subsequent dismissal of all charges against Deen did not transform a proper complaint supported by probable cause into one that was not.

2. Because Deen failed to create any genuine issue of material fact that his constitutional rights were violated, the district court did not err in granting summary judgment in favor of Corning City and its police department. See 42

U.S.C. § 1983 (requiring some “deprivation of . . . rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws” to state a cause of action). Absent any showing that some constitutional “injury [was] inflicted,” Monell v. Dep’t of Soc. Servs., 436 U.S. 658, 694 (1978), we need not decide whether there existed a “longstanding practice or custom” or sufficient “final policymak[er]” involvement to give rise to municipal liability under Monell. Christie v. Iopa, 176 F.3d 1231, 1235 (9th Cir. 1999).

**AFFIRMED.**